

Bad Manners & Good Gossip (at the end)

Harvey Bialy
20-21.04.07

What follows has little or no redeeming qualities *until* the very last paragraphs when it qualifies as “extra juicy”. Most of what precedes is more in the class of low-down gossip that has to be of interest only to the most avid readers of People Magazine in which the most ordinary activities imaginable are “celebrated” as though actual lives depended on them (although I suppose a goodly number of *livelihoods* do).

Nonetheless, since it concerns the editor and author of the People Magazine “blog” of AIDS dissent, Senor Anthony “Truthseeker” Liversidge, and I am a self-declared devil (as well as homophiliac)

Otis, the managing editor of YBYL, has been trying to communicate to the blog owner, for several days and with no success, that contained within his “post(s)” concerning the recent exchanges between myself and John Moore and associates (some of which are published in yesterday’s *really* “Good Gossip”) are some words that require quotation marks, and further that they were NOT sent to him in a personal email from me. Rather they are from an early draft of yesterday’s Good Gossip PDF, and were sent in a PDF to him by Otis with the mistaken idea that he would read it and see its relevance to his flailing, typically tepid and ineffectual attack on Delaney’s perfidies.

Anthony Liversidge likes to pretend he is in all kinds of loops, and gets personalized, data-rich email from me. He is loopy that way, or maybe he doesn’t understand Gallo’s loop theory very well - http://barnesworld.blogs.com/barnes_world/2007/03/robert_gallo_ex.html). And he also imagines that his always exaggerated, insincere praise somehow excuses lapses of intelligence, respect or even proper attribution.

From NAR (where otherwise unspecified “hidden nuggets” are contained, and “it was meant ironically” is a free pass for inaccuracy and insult): “Homophobia Rules AIDS”

“The **renowned independent scholar and fierce guard dog of science** who spends much of his time with his sharp teeth clamped on the trousers of a terrified HIV/AIDS paradigm promoter *attempts to explain in his introduction* [Ital and “?” HB] why he habitually sends out **scathingly sulphuric email** that leaves its recipients with third degree burns:

Contrary to perhaps widely spread opinion (an expression with three ambiguous words), I am extremely thoughtful about everything I write

...

(I know, none of the above is either bad manners or good gossip...patience...we will get there soon enough.)

We urge any readers who can extract from this extended riff exactly what the justification is supposed to be to please explain in Comments below.”

Addendum: In case there was any remaining doubt about my use of the word “insincere” above, let me display even worse manners (if possible) and quote from some emails that were forwarded to me today from YBYL contributor, Claus Jensen (The View from the Mysterious East), who also fulfills a number of the duties of “Otis, the managing editor” (which as I have explained to apparently no comprehension to some, including Truthseeker, several times, is a *function* not a person.).

“On Apr 19, 2007, at 2:35 PM, Claus Jensen wrote to Anthony Liversidge:

I've asked Harvey, and he sent these specifics

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **bialy harvey** <harveybialy@>
Date: Apr 19, 2007 8:27 PM
Subject: Re: ?
To: Claus Jensen

ANTHONY WHAT WAS SENT TO YOU AS A PDF IS LINKED AT A NEW SIDEBAR FEATURE AT YBYL SINCE YESTERDAY THAT IS CALLED BAD MANNERS AND GOOD GOSSIP. IF YOU SCROLL DOWN THE PAGE AND KEEP YOUR EYES ON THE LEFT HAND COLUMN, I T APPEARS DIRECTLY AFTER CARTOONS. IT IS THIS ON OUR SITE TO WHICH I THINK YOU NEED TO MAKE A LINKED OR OTHER APPROPRIATE REFERENCE IF YOU WISH TO USE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THE PDF.

On 4/20/07, **Anthony Liversidge** <anthony@> wrote:

Harvey writing in good intelligible English!!! What happened!

OK I don't really understand except that a link to his site is in order for people who wants to read the whole terrible thing.”

[The text has *not* been altered in its quotation, and there is a great deal more of this silliness by someone who might have been better off writing articles, and submitting them to any number of possible outlets, than in spending all of his time writing extended, “literary” emails to all sorts of people and really-extended, ineffectual “posts” on his blog, where it is only his same opinion, which is never in error, over and over again, and where he spends endless hours explaining this in comment threads that are not to be believed. But he is busy “saving lives” (that’s what Bob Gallo always says too) and therefore my question is as irrelevant as the sanity clause in the contract in “Night at the Opera” – both film and scientific history versions.]

21.04.07

Yesterdsay, shortly after “posting” this PDF, I sent the following email to Mr. Liversidge:

Subject field: now you can write i sent you a personal email after all..sr. named after a fungus

And included this page’s URL as the *only* text.

Earlier this morning I looked at NAR to see, if perchance, *any* of the obvious corrections had been made or even acknowledged. It goes without saying (almost) that my expectation was about the same one I would have of catching antibodies from Bob Gallo, even if we were somehow in the same loop and glued tightly together back to front or front to back depending on your preference...myself I have none.

However, there was no way I could be amused by what I did find. The “Truthseeker” had edited the title of his “post” so that instead of Homophobia Rules AIDS it now reads “Homophobia Twists AIDS”, but is otherwise uncorrected.

And just moments ago I found this in the trash bin....

“From: **Anthony Liversidge** Date: Apr 21, 2007 12:23 AM
Subject: Make sure
To: bialy harvey
Cc: Claus Jensen

Important that you tell me if anything you object to on NAR

I want to make sure you are not put out

I would have thought you would have liked some of it but guess not all of it”

Here is the text of a second-hand email I sent him through Claus/Otis before I thought to recompose the material in the slightly different form above. But as it is part of the record, and as I have written so many times, I stand by my words, here it is:

“mr liverwort or whatever

if you wish to click once more on the link i sent you yesterday, which will now be titled on the sidebar as 20.04.07 - 21.04.07, in an hour or less, you will see that it is impossible to comply with your typically idiotic request to tell you in even simpler language what you have been able to read for yourself if you could read“

Have you ever encountered a more devious “truthseeker”? He makes Moore look like the clumsy buffoon he is. I am impressed, sort of, in that he appears to have retained all the cunning of “formal debate” he once learned - more’s the pity, little of the substantive skills of that fine “arte” remain. In Anthony’s day, Cambridge was still a

splendid place to attend university, but as my friends tell me as they retire one by one, "It's not the vision we had".

Addendum: There will be some who do not believe this, but I vouch totally that it is true: I just a few moments ago read Delaney's "homophobic" attack on Duesberg dated 15 April to its end, to discover that I am now being accused of racialism by the distinguished gentleman who gives homophilia a bad name, and that Mr. Liverwort did not deem it necessary to add a single fucking sentence to contradict that disgusting (if funny in its twisted way) remark in a VERY public (Delaney hoped) document, although he did go on for about 5000 words about how awful my calling Mark somebody a faggot in an email was. The stuff about me and Peter being business partners is sourced in a press release that appeared on MSNBC a few weeks ago. His deductions are either typical of the actual reasoning ability of the establishment AIDS 'scholars', or another example of their utterly corrupted ethics. On further thought, perhaps Mr. Delaney is correct after all. I often use racial slurs like "honkey" to refer to dumb-ass Whitebreads like him.

I also cannot help wondering if this email I sent to Mark somebody on the same day had anything to do with that honkey ignoramus Delaney's parenthetical addition to his disgusting accusations against Peter.

"From: **bialy harvey** <harveybialy@ Date: Apr 15, 2007 3:25 PM
Subject: here is some additional grist for your whatever you call it mill
To: Mark
Cc: Claus Jensen

unprovoked and free of any charges, as also in implorations

you will probably be interested to know that peter duesberg and i have frequently over the years used the term "schwartzter" to refer to africans or african americans, and found its use to be quite funny at times.

please infer from this that i am a racist as well as a homophobe my fegela once upon a time admirer and passionate loving letter writer.. until i began pointing out some yawning gaps in your knowledge base....as well as you reasoning skills"

I certainly hope so as it was obviously my intention in sending the poisoned missive to Dr. Benedict Arnold. And as there *is no other* possible source for such a ridiculous and utterly ignorant of any facts *whatsofingever* accusation as contained in that "hastily" added parenthetical attack on me, I propose that it is a better hypothesis than virus-AIDS by a long shot.

As it is near the end of *Shabos* in the lower Sierra Madres now, and I have spent such a good part of today engaged with this unexpectedly "good" gossip, I cannot help adding these fondest childhood memories. Growing up in the Jewish ghetto of Brownsville, Brooklyn in the 1950s, the first and most usual word I heard for African-American was "Schwartzter". Not once in my childhood, do I ever remember it being applied in any way other than the word "Black" is used today. And in our own apartment, it was most frequently applied in reference to Louis Armstrong, or Billie Holiday or Charlie Parker,

or Thelonious Monk. I also remember thinking the first time I saw *The Cosby Show* that *Amos and Andy* was much hipper.